

Representations of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L

Chongying Dong¹ and Kiyokazu Nagatomo²

Department of Mathematics, University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Abstract

We classify the irreducible modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra V_L^+ of the vertex operator algebra V_L associated to a positive definite even lattice of rank 1 under the automorphism lifted from the -1 isometry of L .

1 Introduction

Vertex operator algebras V_L associated to an arbitrary even positive definite lattice L have been studied extensively, and their representation theory and fusion rules have been understood very well (see [B], [FLM2], [D1], [DL1], [DLM1]). It is well known that the vertex operator algebra V_L has an order 2 automorphism θ which is deduced from the -1 isometry of the lattice [FLM2]. The θ -invariants V_L^+ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of V_L . In this paper we classify the irreducible modules for V_L^+ for all rank 1 lattice L . The classification result says that any irreducible module for V_L^+ is isomorphic to either a submodule of an irreducible V_L -module or a submodule of an irreducible θ -twisted V_L -module. This confirms a conjecture in the orbifold conformal field theory [DVVV] in this special case.

The study of V_L^+ was initiated in [FLM1] during the course of constructing the moonshine module although the notion of vertex operator algebra was not available back then. It became clear later in [FLM2] (also see [B]) that V_Λ^+ (where Λ is the Leech lattice) is a vertex operator subalgebra of the moonshine (module) vertex operator

¹Supported by NSF grant DMS-9700923 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

²On leave of absence from Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0048, Japan. This work was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

algebra $V^\natural$ which is a direct sum of V_Λ^+ and an irreducible module for V_Λ^+ . In fact the moonshine module is the first example of so-called “orbifold conformal field theory.” The important role of the twisted modules in the orbifold theory was also noticed and used in the construction of the moonshine module.

There is a fundamental difference between vertex operator algebras V_L and V_L^+ . In order to see this we need to recall their construction. Set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$. Then the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ has an automorphism θ of order 2 such that $\theta(h \otimes t^n) = -h \otimes t^n$ and $\theta(c) = c$. Then V_L as a vector space is a tensor product of $M(1)$ and $\mathbb{C}[L]$ where $M(1) = S(\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ and $\mathbb{C}[L]$ is the group algebra of L . The map θ extends to an algebra automorphism of $S(\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ and maps e^α to $e^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in L$ where we use e^α to denote the corresponding element in $\mathbb{C}[L]$. It is clear that V_L has the subspace $\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1}$. So the affine algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ with $c = 1$ is a substructure of V_L and plays a very important role in the classification of irreducible (twisted modules) for V_L (see [D1] and [D2]). But this affine algebra is not available to V_L^+ . This explains why the representation theory for V_L^+ is more difficult.

Although V_L^+ provides a large class of concrete and important examples of vertex operator algebras, the study of representation theory for an arbitrary V_L^+ is very limited so far. It was proved in [DGH] that if L contains a sublattice of type D_1^d then V_L^+ is rational but the classification of irreducible modules even in this case remains open except when L is the Leech lattice (see [D3]).

The classification of irreducible modules for V_L^+ is well motivated by the problem of classification of rational vertex operator algebras. The classification of rational vertex operator algebras is definitely one of the most important problems in the theory of vertex operator algebra and it has immediate applications to the classification of rational conformal field theory. If the central charge is less than 1, the classification problem is not difficult as the vertex operator subalgebra generated by the Virasoro element has only finitely many irreducible modules. So the first nontrivial case is the central charge equal to 1. It is believed that all rational vertex operator algebras of central charge 1 are V_L, V_L^+ and $V_{L_2}^G$ where the rank of L is 1, L_2 is the root lattice of type A_1 , G is a finite subgroup of $SO(3)$ of type E and $V_{L_2}^G$ is the corresponding invariants. As we mentioned already that the representation theory of V_L including the fusion rules is clear, one has to understand V_L^+ and $V_{L_2}^G$ better and eventually characterize them.

Another importance of studying the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ lies in the con-

nection of V_L^+ with the W -algebra $W(2, 4, k)$ (cf. [BFKNRV]) where the even positive integer k is the half of the square length of generators of the lattice L . It was pointed out in [DG] that V_L^+ is generated by the Virasoro vector and two additional highest weight vectors for the Virasoro algebra of weights 4 and k . So V_L^+ is the vertex operator algebra associated to the W -algebra $W(2, 4, k)$ of central charge 1. We may expect some application of the results in this paper to the study of the W -algebra $W(2, 4, k)$.

The present paper is a continuation of [DN] in which we determine the Zhu's algebra $A(M(1)^+)$ in the case $d = 1$ and classified the irreducible modules for $M(1)^+$ where $M(1)^+$ is the θ -invariants of $M(1)$. It is well known that $M(1)^+$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of V_L^+ . The ideals, techniques and results in [DN] have been extensively used and significantly extended in the present paper. As in [DN] our main strategy is to determine the Zhu's algebra $A(V_L^+)$ whose inequivalent irreducible modules have a one to one correspondence with the inequivalent irreducible (admissible) modules for V_L^+ . It turns out that $A(V_L^+)$ is a semisimple commutative associative algebra of dimension $k + 7$ generated by the image of the three generators of V_L^+ in $A(V_L^+)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we review the Zhu's algebra and related results. We also briefly review the vertex operator algebras V_L , V_L^+ and their (twisted) modules. In Section 3 we introduce the three generators of V_L^+ following [DG] and give the commutator relations of the component operators of these generators. We then show that how to obtain a "small" spanning set of $A(V_L^+)$. In section 4 we use a PBW type generating result to give an even "smaller" spanning set of $A(V_L^+)$ in terms of the images of the three generators of V_L^+ . Section 5 is the core of this paper. In this section we first find the four relations among the three generators of $A(V_L^+)$ two of which were in [DN] already. These relations are good enough for us to determine a basis of $A(V_L^+)$ in the three separate cases: k is not a perfect square; k is an even perfect square and k is an odd perfect square different from 1. The case $k = 1$ needs a special treatment although it is easy: in this case V_L^+ is isomorphic to another lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{L'}$ corresponding $k = 4$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section after recalling the definitions of admissible modules for a vertex operator algebra and a rational vertex operator algebra from [DLM2] and [Z] we review the definition of Zhu's algebra and related results. We then review the construction of

vertex operator algebra V_L associated to an even positive definite lattice of rank 1 and its representations (see [B], [FLM2], [D1]). We also define the automorphism θ of V_L which is a lifting from the -1 isometry of L and the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra V_L^+ . The θ -twisted modules for V_L are also discussed.

2.1 Modules and Zhu's algebras

We begin with a vertex operator algebra V (cf. [B], [FLM2]) and an automorphism g of V of finite order T . Then V decomposes into eigenspaces with respect to the action of g as $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r$ where $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{-2\pi ir/T} v\}$.

An admissible g -twisted V -module (cf. [DLM2], [Z]) is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space

$$M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{n}{T}\right),$$

with top level $M(0) \neq 0$, equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow (\text{End } M)\{z\} \\ v &\longmapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } M) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following conditions; for all $0 \leq r \leq T-1$, $u \in V^r$, $v \in V$, $w \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_M(u, z) &= \sum_{n \in \frac{r}{T} + \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}, \\ u_n w &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \gg 0, \\ Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-r/T} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and all binomial expressions are to be expanded in nonnegative integral powers of the second variable;

$$u_m M(n) \subset M(\text{wt}(u) - m - 1 + n)$$

if u is homogeneous. If $g = 1$, this reduces to the definition of an admissible V -module.

A g -twisted V -module is an admissible g -twisted V -module M which carries a $\mathbb{C}$ -grading by weight. That is, we have

$$M = \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_{\lambda}$$

where $M_{\lambda} = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$. Moreover we require that $\dim M_{\lambda}$ is finite and for fixed λ , $M_{\frac{n}{T} + \lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n . Again if $g = 1$ we get an ordinary V -module.

A vertex operator algebra is called rational if any admissible module is a direct sum of irreducible admissible modules. It was proved in [Z] and [DLM2] that if V is rational then V has only finitely many irreducible admissible modules and each irreducible admissible module is an ordinary module.

Zhu introduced an associative algebra $A(V)$ associated to a vertex operator algebra V which is extremely useful in study the representation theory of V [Z]. In fact we will compute the Zhu's algebra $A(V_L^+)$ to determine the irreducible modules for V_L^+ .

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. For homogeneous $u, v \in V$, we define products $u * v$ and $u \circ v$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u * v &= \text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}(u)}}{z} Y(u, z)v \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}(u)}{i} u_{i-1}v \\ u \circ v &= \text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}(u)}}{z^2} Y(u, z)v \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}(u)}{i} u_{i-2}v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then extends (2.1) to linear products on V . Let $O(V)$ be the linear span of $u \circ v$ for $u, v \in V$. Set $A(V) = V/O(V)$.

Let M be an admissible module for V . Following [DLM2] we define the “vacuum space”

$$\Omega(M) = \{w \in M | u_n w = 0, u \in V, n \geq \text{wt}(u)\}.$$

Then $\Omega(M)$ contains $M(0)$ and each $o(u) = u_{\text{wt}(u)-1}$ for homogeneous $u \in V$ preserves $\Omega(M)$. One can extend $o(u)$ to all $u \in V$ be the linearity. Then we have (see [Z], [DLM2])

Theorem 2.1. (1) $A(V)$ is an associative algebra under multiplication $*$ and with identity $\mathbf{1} + O(V)$ and central element $\omega + O(V)$.

(2) The map $u \mapsto o(u)$ gives a representation of $A(V)$ on $\Omega(M)$ for any admissible V -module M . Moreover, if V is rational $A(V)$ is a finite dimensional semisimple algebra.

(3) The map $M \rightarrow M(0)$ gives a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible admissible V -modules and the set of equivalence classes of simple $A(V)$ -modules.

Following [DN] we write $[u] = u + O(V) \in A(V)$. We define $u \sim v$ for $u, v \in V$ if $[u] = [v]$. This induces a relation on $\text{End } V$ such that for $f, g \in \text{End } V$, $f \sim g$ if and only if $fu \sim gu$ for all $u \in V$.

We also need the following results from [Z].

Proposition 2.2. (1) Assume that $u \in V$ homogeneous, $v \in V$ and $n \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}(u)}}{z^{2+n}} Y(u, z)v \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}(u)}{i} u_{i-n-2}v \in O(V). \quad (2.2)$$

(2) If u and v are homogeneous elements of V , then

$$u * v \sim \text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}(v)-1}}{z} Y(v, z)u \right). \quad (2.3)$$

(3) For any $n \geq 1$,

$$L(-n) \sim (-1)^n \{(n-1)(L(-2) + L(-1)) + L(0)\} \quad (2.4)$$

where $L(n)$ are the Virasoro operators given by $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$.

(4) For any $u \in V$,

$$[u] * [\omega] = [(L(-2) + L(-1))u]. \quad (2.5)$$

2.2 Vertex operator algebras V_L and V_L^+

We work in the setting of [FLM2]. Let L be an even lattice of rank one with nondegenerate symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\mathfrak{h} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the corresponding Heisenberg algebra. Let $M(1)$ be the associated irreducible induced module for $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the canonical central element of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ acts as 1. Define $V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L]$ where $\mathbb{C}[L]$ is the group algebra of L with a basis $\{e^{\alpha} | \alpha \in L\}$. Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\beta(-1)^2$ where $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 1$. It was proved in [B] and [FLM2] that there is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V_L &\longrightarrow (\text{End } V_L)[[z, z^{-1}]], \\ v &\longmapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V_L) \end{aligned}$$

such that $V_L = (V_L, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a simple vertex operator algebra. Let $L^\circ = \{x \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \langle x, L \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the dual lattice of L . Then the irreducible modules for V_L are $V_{L+\gamma} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L + \lambda]$ where λ runs over the coset representatives of L in L° (see [D1]). Moreover, V_L is a rational vertex operator algebra (see [DLM1]). To be more precise, let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2k$. Then $L^\circ = \frac{1}{2k}L$ and the irreducible modules for V_L are $V_{L+\frac{i}{2k}\alpha}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2k-1$.

Let θ be the linear automorphism of V_{L° such that $\theta(u \otimes e^\gamma) = \theta(u) \otimes e^{-\gamma}$ for $u \in M(1)$ and $\gamma \in L^\circ$. Here the action of θ on $M(1)$ is given by $\theta(\alpha_1(n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(n_k)) = (-1)^k \alpha_1(n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(n_k)$. Then the restriction of θ to V_L is a VOA automorphism. Let M be an θ -stable subspace of V_{L° . We denote the ± 1 eigenspaces by $M^\pm$ respectively. Then $M(1)^+$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of V_L^+ .

We have (see Theorems 4.4 and 6.1 of [DM])

Proposition 2.3. (1) V_L^+ is a simple vertex operator algebra.

(2) $V_L^\pm$ and $V_{L+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}^\pm$ are irreducible V_L^+ -modules.

(3) $V_{L+\frac{i}{2k}\alpha}$ and $V_{L+\frac{2k-i}{2k}\alpha}$ are isomorphic and irreducible V_L^+ -modules for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Next we discuss the θ -twisted modules of V_L following Chapter 9 of [FLM2]. Then $L/2L$ is an abelian group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ has two irreducible modules T_1, T_2 such that $\alpha + 2L$ acts as scalars 1 and -1 respectively. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]$ be the twisted Heisenberg algebra. As in Section 1.7 of [FLM2] we also denote by $M(1)$ the unique irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]$ -module with the canonical central element acting by 1. Define the twisted space $V_L^{T_i} = M(1) \otimes T_i$. It was shown in [FLM2] and [DL2] that there is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V_L &\rightarrow (\text{End } V_L^{T_i})[[z^{1/2}, z^{-1/2}]], \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

such that $V_L^{T_i}$ is an irreducible θ -twisted module for V_L . Moreover, $V_L^{T_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ give all irreducible θ -twisted V_L -module (see [D2]).

We also define a linear operator θ on $V_L^{T_i}$ such that

$$\theta(\alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_s(-n_s) \otimes t) = (-1)^s \alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_s(-n_s) \otimes t$$

for $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n_i \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in T_i$. Then $\hat{\theta}_d Y(u, z)(\hat{\theta}_d)^{-1} = Y(\theta u, z)$ for $u \in V_L$ (cf. [FLM2]). We have the decomposition $V_L^{T_i} = (V_L^{T_i})^+ \oplus (V_L^{T_i})^-$ where $(V_L^{T_i})^\pm$ are the ± 1 eigenspaces

Then we have (see [FLM2] and Theorem 5.5 of [DLi])

Proposition 2.4. $(V_L^{T_i})^\pm$ are irreducible V_L^+ -modules for $i = 1, 2$.

Our main result in this paper is that Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 give a complete list of irreducible modules for V_L^+ .

3 A spanning set of $A(V_L^+)$

In this section we use the ideas and techniques developed in [DN] to reduce the spanning set of $A(V_L^+)$ to the images of $M(1)^+$ and $V_L^+(1)$ in $A(V_L^+)$. We shall use the vertex operators $Y(u, z)$ for $u \in V_L$ freely and we refer the reader to [FLM2] for the definition of these operators. In Subsection 3.1 we review the bracket relations for the component operators of the generators of V_L^+ . Subsection 3.2 gives several lemmas which are used in the later subsections. In Subsections 3.3 and 3.4 we prove that the subspace $V_L^+(m) + O(V_L^+)$ of $A(V_L^+)$ can be generated by the subspace $M(1)^+ + V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ for all $m \geq 1$.

3.1 The generators of V_L^+

Recall from [DG] that the vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$ is generated by ω and

$$J = \beta(-1)^4 \mathbf{1} - 2\beta(-3)\beta(-1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{3}{2}\beta(-2)^2 \mathbf{1} \quad (3.1)$$

which is a singular vector of weight 4 for the Virasoro algebra. Also recall that $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ and $J(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n z^{-n-1}$. The following lemma can be found in [DN].

Lemma 3.1. (1) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$[L(m), J_n] = (3(m+1) - n)J_{n+m}.$$

(2) The commutators $[J_m, J_n]$ are expressed as linear combinations of

$$L(p_1) \cdots L(p_s), \quad L(q_1) \cdots L(q_t)J_r$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_t, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s, t \leq 3$.

For convenience we set

$$V_L^+(m) = M(1)^+ \otimes (e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha}) + M(1)^- \otimes (e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha})$$

for $m \geq 0$. Then $V_L^+(m)$ is an irreducible $M(1)^+$ -module and is also completely reducible module for the Virasoro algebra

$$V_L^+(m) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} L(1, (m\sqrt{k} + p)^2) & \text{if } k \neq 0 \text{ is a perfect square,} \\ \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} L(1, (4p)^2) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ L(1, km^2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

(cf. [DG]) where $L(1, h)$ is the highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra with central charge 1 and highest weight h .

Set $E = e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}$. Then V_L^+ is generated by ω, J and E (see Theorem 2.9 of [DG]). Since E is a highest weight vector for the Virasoro algebra of weight k , we immediately have

$$[L(m), E_n] = ((k-1)(m+1) - n)E_{n+m}.$$

The commutator $[J_m, E_n]$ could be computed if one knows $J_s E$ for $s \geq 0$. Next we make a rough estimation of $J_s E$. Since $\text{wt}(J_s E) = k + 3 - s \leq k + 3$ if $s \geq 0$, then $J_s E \in L(1, k)$ by (3.2) for $k > 1$. The following lemma now is obvious.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $k > 1$. For nonnegative integer n , $J_n E$ is expressed as a linear combinations of the set*

$$\{L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) E \mid m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1, s \leq 3\}.$$

3.2 Several lemmas

Recall from [FLM2] that $\alpha(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(m) z^{-m-1}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y(\alpha(-n-1)\mathbf{1}, z) = \partial^{(n)} \alpha(z)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ where $\partial^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n}$. Then

$$\partial^{(n)} \alpha(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-j-1}{n} \alpha(j) z^{-j-n-1} + \sum_{j \leq -n-1} \binom{-j-1}{n} \alpha(j) z^{-j-n-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Set

$$E^m = e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha}, \quad F^m = e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha}$$

for any integer m . Then E^1 is the E defined in Subsection 3.1. Also set $F = F^1$. Notice that

$$\alpha(0)E^m = 2kmF^m, \quad \alpha(0)F^m = 2kmE^m.$$

Lemma 3.3. For $m, n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}) * E^m \\ &= \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)E^m + 2mk(n + (-1)^{n+1})\alpha(-n-1)F^m + \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \alpha(-i)F^m \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $v = \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. By (3.3) we have

$$Y(v, z) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j_1 \geq 0 \text{ or } j_1 \leq -n}} \binom{-j_1-1}{n-1} \circ \alpha(j_1)\alpha(j_2) \circ z^{-j_1-j_2-n-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Recall that $Y(v, z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} v_j z^{-j-1}$. Then

$$v_{-1} = \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2=-n-1 \\ j_1 \geq 0 \text{ or } j_1 \leq -n}} \binom{-j_1-1}{n-1} \circ \alpha(j_1)\alpha(j_2) \circ.$$

Hence

$$v_{-1}E^m = \left((-1)^{n-1}\alpha(-n-1)\alpha(0) + n\alpha(-n-1)\alpha(0) + \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1) \right) E^m.$$

This proves that

$$v_{-1}E^m = \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)E^m + 2mk(n + (-1)^{n+1})\alpha(-n-1)F^m.$$

Next we consider $v_i E^m$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. By (3.3), we see

$$v_i = \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2=i-n \\ j_1 \geq 0 \text{ or } j_1 \leq -n}} \binom{-j_1-1}{n-1} \circ \alpha(j_1)\alpha(j_2) \circ.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} v_i E^m &= \left((-1)^{n+1}\alpha(i-n)\alpha(0) + \delta_{i0}\alpha(-n)\alpha(0) \right) E^m \\ &= 2km \left((-1)^{n+1}\alpha(i-n) + \delta_{i0}\alpha(-n) \right) F^m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{wt}(v) = n+1$ we see from (2.1) that

$$v * E^m = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} v_{i-1} E^m.$$

Substitute the explicit expressions of v_{i-1} into the equation above to get the desired result. $\square$

We say an element $u = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)v$ ($n_i > 0$, $v = E^m$ or F^m) has the length r with respect to α and we write $\ell_\alpha(u) = r$. In general if u is a linear combination of such vectors u^i 's we define the length of u to be the maximal length among $\ell_\alpha(u^i)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with r even. Then*

$$(\alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)\mathbf{1}) * E^m = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)E^m + u$$

where $u \in V_L^+(m)$ and $\ell_\alpha(u) < r$.

Proof. Let $v = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)\mathbf{1}$. By the definition of a vertex operator and (3.3), we have

$$Y(v, z) = \sum_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m_1 n_1} \cdots c_{m_r n_r} \circ \alpha(m_1) \cdots \alpha(m_r) \circ z^{-m-n}$$

where $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_r$, $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ and $c_{mn} = \binom{-m-1}{n-1}$, $m_i \geq 0$ or $m_i \leq -n_i$. Therefore for $j \geq 0$

$$v_{j-1}E^m = \sum_{\substack{m=j-n \\ m_i \geq 0 \text{ or } m_i \leq -n_i}} c_{m_1 n_1} \cdots c_{m_r n_r} \circ \alpha(m_1) \cdots \alpha(m_r) \circ E^m.$$

If $j = 0$ then either $m_i = -n_i$ for all i or there exists i such that $m_i \geq 0$. So in this case

$$v_{-1}E^m = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)E^m + u$$

where $\ell_\alpha(u) < r$. If $j > 0$ then there exists i such that $m_i \geq 0$. This implies $\ell_\alpha(v_{j-1}E^m) < r$. The lemma follows from the definition of $*$ product. $\square$

A similar argument gives:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with r odd. Then*

$$(\alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_{r-1})\mathbf{1}) * (\alpha(-n_r)F^m) = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)F^m + u$$

where $u \in V_L^+(m)$ and $\ell_\alpha(u) < r$.

3.3 Reduction I: even case

In this subsection we prove by induction on n that $V_L^+(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}$ for even integers n .

We need the following notation:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n} z^n\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(x_1, x_2, \dots) z^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(x) z^j \quad (3.5)$$

and $q_j(x) = p_j(x) + p_j(-x)$. Then $p_j(x)$ are elementary Schur polynomials.

The following lemma is easily derived from the definition of vertex operators.

Lemma 3.6. *For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$Y(e^{m\alpha}, z)e^{n\alpha} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(m\alpha) \otimes e^{(m+n)\alpha} z^{2kmn+j}.$$

where $p_j(\beta) = p_j(\beta(-1), \beta(-2), \dots)$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$e^{2m\alpha} + e^{-2m\alpha} \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.6 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(E^m, z)E^m \\ &= Y(e^{m\alpha}, z)e^{m\alpha} + Y(e^{-m\alpha}, z)e^{-m\alpha} + Y(e^{m\alpha}, z)e^{-m\alpha} + Y(e^{-m\alpha}, z)e^{m\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ p_j(m\alpha) \otimes e^{2m\alpha} + p_j(-m\alpha) \otimes e^{-2m\alpha} \right\} z^{2km^2+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j(m\alpha) z^{-2km^2+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{km^2}}{z^{2km^2+1}} Y(E^m, z)E^m = E^{2m} + u$$

where u is a linear combination of $q_j(m\alpha)$, in particular, $u \in M(1)^+$. Since $\text{wt}(E^m) = km^2$ and $2km^2 + 1 \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.2 (i), $\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{km^2}}{z^{2km^2+1}} Y(E^m, z)E^m$ lies in $O(V_L^+)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be even and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then*

$$\alpha(-n)(e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha}) \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

Proof. We prove the result by induction on n . Note that $L(-1) \sim -L(0)$. Then

$$L(-1)E^m \equiv -L(0)E^m \pmod{O(V_L^+)}$$

or,

$$m\alpha(-1)F^m \equiv -km^2E^m \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

Using Lemma 3.7 shows that $\alpha(-1)F^m \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}$. So the case $n = 1$ is done.

Assume that the lemma is true for all integers less than n . Then by induction hypothesis,

$$\alpha(-n)(e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha}) \in M(1)^+ \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

Then again use $L(-1) \sim -L(0)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & -(n + km^2)\alpha(-n)F^m \\ &= -L(0)\alpha(-n)F^m \\ &\sim L(-1)(\alpha(-n)F^m) \\ &= n\alpha(-n-1)F^m + m\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)E^m \in M(1)^+ \pmod{O(V_L^+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $v = \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Then by Lemma 3.3 and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v * E^m &\equiv \alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)E^m \\ &\quad + 2mk(n + (-1)^{n+1})\alpha(-n-1)F^m \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $E^m \in M(1)^+ \pmod{O(V_L^+)}$. Hence

$$v * (e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha}) \in M(1)^+ \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

and

$$\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1)E^m \equiv -2mk(n + (-1)^{n+1})\alpha(-n-1)F^m \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

Finally, substituting this into (3.6), we reach to

$$\{n - 2km^2(n + (-1)^{n+1})\}\alpha(-n-1)F^m \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

Since for $m \geq 2$

$$n - 2km^2(n + (-1)^{n+1}) \neq 0,$$

we see

$$\alpha(-n-1)F^m \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

□

The main result in this subsection is the following:

Lemma 3.9. *For any positive even integer m ,*

$$V_L^+(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}.$$

Proof. Let

$$u = \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)(e^{m\alpha} + (-1)^r e^{-m\alpha}).$$

Set

$$v = \begin{cases} \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)\mathbf{1} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_{r-1})\mathbf{1} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$w = \begin{cases} e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha(-n_r)(e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we see that $v * w = u + u'$ where $u' \in V_L^+(m)$ and $\ell_\alpha(u') < \ell_\alpha(u) = r$. From Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, $v * w \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}$, that is, $u + u' \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V_L^+) + M(1)^+}$. An induction on r shows that $u \in M(1)^+ \pmod{O(V_L^+)}$. $\square$

3.4 Reduction II: odd case

In this subsection we prove that

$$V_L^+(m) \subset M(1)^+ \otimes (e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}) + O(V_L^+)$$

for all odd integer m . Recall from the previous subsections that

$$E = e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}, \quad F = e^\alpha - e^{-\alpha}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *For any $s \in M(1)^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists $t \in M(1)^+$ such that*

$$\alpha(-n)s \otimes F \equiv t \otimes E \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n . Applying $L(-1)$ to $s \otimes E$ and using the relation $L(-1) \sim L(0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(-1)s \otimes F &= L(-1)(s \otimes E) - (L(-1)s) \otimes E \\ &\equiv -L(0)(s \otimes E) - (L(-1)s) \otimes E \pmod{O(V_L^+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M(1)^+ \otimes (e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha})$ is invariant under $L(0)$ and $L(-1)s \in M(1)^+$ we see immediately that

$$\alpha(-1)s \otimes F \in M(1)^+ \otimes (e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}) \mod O(V_L^+).$$

Let us assume that the lemma holds for $n > 0$. Again applying $L(-1)$ to $\alpha(-n)s \otimes F$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} L(-1)(\alpha(-n)s \otimes F) \\ = (L(-1)s)\alpha(-n) \otimes F + ns\alpha(-n-1) \otimes F + s\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1) \otimes E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ns\alpha(-n-1) \otimes F \\ = L(-1)(s\alpha(-n) \otimes F) - (L(-1)s)\alpha(-n) \otimes F - s\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1) \otimes E \\ \equiv -L(0)(s\alpha(-n) \otimes F) - (L(-1)s)\alpha(-n) \otimes F - s\alpha(-n)\alpha(-1) \otimes E \mod O(V_L^+). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis both $L(0)(s\alpha(-n) \otimes F)$ and $(L(-1)s)\alpha(-n) \otimes F$ lie in $M(1)^+ \otimes E$ modulo $O(V_L^+)$. As a result we have

$$\alpha(-n-1)s \otimes F \in M(1)^+ \otimes (e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}) \mod O(V_L^+).$$

□

Remark 3.11. *From the proof of Lemma 3.10, it is clear that for any $0 \neq \gamma \in L$*

$$M(1)^- \otimes (e^\gamma - e^{-\gamma}) \subset M(1)^+ \otimes (e^\gamma + e^{-\gamma}) \mod O(V_L^+).$$

The main result in this subsection is:

Lemma 3.12. *For any odd positive integer m , $V_L^+(m) \subset V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on m . By Lemma 3.10 the lemma holds for $m = 1$. Suppose the assertion is true for $m - 2$ ($m \geq 3$). A straightforward computation using

Lemma 3.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(E, z)E^{m-1} \\
&= Y(e^\alpha, z)e^{(m-1)\alpha} + Y(e^{-\alpha}, z)e^{-(m-1)\alpha} + Y(e^{-\alpha}, z)e^{(m-1)\alpha} + Y(e^\alpha, z)e^{-(m-1)\alpha} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(\alpha) \otimes e^{m\alpha} z^{2k(m-1)+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(-\alpha) \otimes e^{-m\alpha} z^{2k(m-1)+j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(-\alpha) \otimes e^{(m-2)\alpha} z^{-2k(m-1)+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(\alpha) \otimes e^{-(m-2)\alpha} z^{-2k(m-1)+j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{p_j(\alpha) \otimes e^{m\alpha} + p_j(-\alpha) \otimes e^{-m\alpha}\} z^{2k(m-1)+j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{p_j(-\alpha) \otimes e^{(m-2)\alpha} + p_j(\alpha) \otimes e^{-(m-2)\alpha}\} z^{-2k(m-1)+j}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^k}{z^{2k(m-1)+1}} Y(E, z)E^{m-1} = e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha} + u$$

where $u \in V_L^+(m-2)$. Since $\text{wt}(E) = k$ and $2k(m-1)+1 \geq 2$ we see from Proposition 2.2 that $\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^k}{z^{2k(m-1)+1}} Y(E, z)E^{m-1} \in O(V_L^+)$. From the induction hypothesis we know that u lies $V_L^+(1)$ modulo $O(V_L^+)$. Thus

$$e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha} \in V_L^+(1) \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

By the same argument given in the proof of Lemma 3.8 we prove that

$$\alpha(-n) \otimes F^m \in V_L^+(1) \pmod{O(V_L^+)}.$$

Next, we want to show by induction on r that

$$u = \alpha(-n_1)\alpha(-n_2) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)(e^{m\alpha} + (-1)^r e^{-m\alpha}) \in V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+).$$

Set

$$v = \begin{cases} \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_r) \mathbf{1} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_{r-1}) \mathbf{1} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$w = \begin{cases} e^{m\alpha} + e^{-m\alpha} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha(-n_r)(e^{m\alpha} - e^{-m\alpha}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 we see that

$$v * w = \alpha(-n_1)\alpha(-n_2) \cdots \alpha(-n_r)(e^{m\alpha} + (-1)^r e^{-m\alpha}) + u'$$

where $\ell_\alpha(u') < r$ and $u' \in V_L^+(m)$. By induction hypothesis $w, u' \in V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$. Since $v \in M(1)^+$ we have $v * w \in V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ and then $u \in V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$. $\square$

4 Generators of $A(V_L^+)$

We have already proved in Section 3 that $A(V_L^+) = M(1)^+ + V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$. The main result of this section is that $A(V_L^+)$ is generated by $\omega + O(V_L^+)$, $J + O(V_L^+)$ and $E + O(V_L^+)$. Since $M(1)^+ + O(V_L^+)$ is generated by $\omega + O(V_L^+)$ and $J + O(V_L^+)$ [DN] we establish that $V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ is generated by $\omega + O(V_L^+)$ and $E + O(V_L^+)$. Since the structure of $V_L^+(1)$ as a Virasoro module varies according to whether k is a perfect square or not, we deal with these cases separately. The case that k is a perfect square is more complicated. Nevertheless, the ideas and the techniques developed in [DN] still work in the present situation.

4.1 A spanning set of $V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ I: k is not a perfect square

In this section we assume that k is not a perfect square. In this case $V_L^+(1)$ is an irreducible Virasoro module which is isomorphic to $L(1, k)$ with a highest weight vector $e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}$. For short, we set

$$v^{*s} = \overbrace{v * \cdots * v}^s$$

for $v \in V_L^+$. Recall that $[v] = v + O(V_L^+)$ for $v \in V_L^+$, we will use a similar notation $[v]^{*s}$. Then it is easy to see that $[v^{*s}] = [v]^{*s}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that k is not a perfect square. Then $V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ is spanned by*

$$[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}] = \{[\omega^{*s} * E] \mid s \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. In this case $V_L^+(1)$ is spanned by the vectors

$$v = L(-n_1)L(-n_2) \cdots L(-n_r)E, \quad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1.$$

So it is enough to show that $[v]$ is spanned by $\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}$. Using Proposition 2.2 (iii), (iv) and the relation

$$L(0)L(-n_1)\cdots L(-n_r)E = (n_1 + \cdots + n_r + k)L(-n_1)\cdots L(-n_r)E$$

one can easily show that $[v] = [P(\omega) * E]$ with some polynomial $P(x)$. $\square$

4.2 A spanning set of $V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ II: k is a perfect square

In this subsection we consider the case that k is a perfect square. Since $V_L^+(1)$ is an irreducible $M(1)^+$ module and $M(1)^+$ is generated by ω and J one can see that $V_L^+(1)$ is spanned by

$$\{u_{m_1}^1 \cdots u_{m_k}^k E \mid u^i = \omega, J, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

which are not necessarily linearly independent. We say that an expression $u_{m_1}^1 \cdots u_{m_k}^k E$ has length t with respect to J , which we write $\ell_J(u_{m_1}^1 \cdots u_{m_k}^k E) = t$, if $\{i \mid u^i = J\}$ has cardinality t . Note that $\omega_i = L(i-1)$. An induction on $\ell_J(u_{m_1}^1 \cdots u_{m_k}^k E)$ using Lemma 3.1 (1) shows that $u_{m_1}^1 \cdots u_{m_k}^k E$ is a linear combination of vectors of type

$$\{L(m_1)L(m_2)\cdots L(m_s)J_{n_1}J_{n_2}\cdots J_{n_t}E \mid m_a, n_b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Using commutation relation in Lemma 3.1 and the fact that E is a singular vector we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let W be a subspace of V_L^+ spanned by $J_{n_1}\cdots J_{n_t}E$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then W is invariant under the action of $L(m)$, $m \geq 0$.*

Lemma 4.3. *$V_L^+(1)$ is spanned by*

$$L(-m_1)\cdots L(-m_s)J_{-n_1}\cdots J_{-n_t}E$$

where $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 1$.

Proof. We have already known that $V_L^+(1)$ is spanned by

$$L(-m_1)\cdots L(-m_s)J_{-n_1}\cdots J_{-n_t}E$$

where $m_a, n_b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the PBW theorem for the Virasoro algebra we can assume that $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_s$. By Lemma 4.2 we can further assume that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 1$.

We proceed by induction on $\ell_J(v)$ that $v = L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E$ can be spanned by the indicated vectors in the proposition.

If the length is 0, it is clear. Suppose that it is true for all monomials v such that $\ell_J(v) < t$. By lemma 3.2 and the induction hypothesis we can assume $n_t \geq 1$. If $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t$ we are done. Otherwise there exists n_a such that $n_{a+1} \geq \cdots \geq n_t$ but $n_a < n_{a+1}$. There are two cases $n_a \leq 0$ and $n_a > 0$ which are dealt with separately. If $n_a \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\ &= \sum_{j=a+1}^t L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots [J_{-n_a}, J_{-n_j}] \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=a+1}^t L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots J_{-n_t} J_{-n_a} E \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a}$ means that we omit the term J_{-n_a} . However by Lemma 3.1 (2), $[J_{-n_a}, J_{-n_j}]$ are linear combinations of operators of type

$$L(p_1) \cdots L(p_{s'}), \quad L(q_1) \cdots L(q_{t'}) J_r.$$

By substituting these into the above and using commutation relation in Lemma 3.1 (1) again, the first term of the right hand side is a linear combination of monomials whose lengths with respect to J are less than or equal to $t-1$. Further by lemma 3.2, the second term is also a linear combination of such monomials. Thus by induction hypothesis, this is expressed as linear combinations of expected monomials.

If $n_a > 0$ then either $n_a < n_t$ or there exists b with $t > b > a$ so that $n_b > n_a \geq n_{b+1}$. Then we have either

$$\begin{aligned} & L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\ &= \sum_{j=a+1}^t L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots [J_{-n_a}, J_{-n_j}] \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\ &\quad + L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots J_{-n_t} J_{-n_a} E \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\
&= \sum_{j=a+1}^b L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots [J_{-n_a}, J_{-n_j}] \cdots J_{-n_t} E \\
&\quad + L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots J_{-n_b} J_{-n_a} J_{-n_{b+1}} \cdots J_{-n_t} E
\end{aligned}$$

From the discussion of case $n_a \leq 0$ it is enough to show either

$$L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots J_{-n_t} J_{-n_a} E$$

or

$$L(-m_1) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots \overset{\vee}{J}_{-n_a} \cdots J_{-n_b} J_{-n_a} J_{-n_{b+1}} \cdots J_{-n_t} E$$

can be expressed as linear combinations of desired vectors. But this follows from an induction on a . $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $k \neq 1$. $V_L^+(1) + O(V_L^+)$ is spanned by*

$$[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}] = \{[\omega^{*s} * E] \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Proof. The case that k is not a perfect square was treated in Lemma 4.1 already. So we can assume that k is a perfect square. By lemma 4.3, it is enough to show that any

$$[v] = [L(-m_1) L(-m_2) \cdots L(-m_s) J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E]$$

where $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 1$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$. We prove this by induction on $\ell_J(v)$. If the length is 0, the proof of Lemma 4.1 gives the result.

Let $t > 0$ and assume that the statement is true for all v with $\ell_J(v) < t$. We will prove that $[v]$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$ by induction on weight of v . Clearly, the smallest weight is $4t + k$ and $v = J_{-1} \cdots J_{-1} E$. Then

$$J * \cdots * J * E - v = \sum_{\substack{n_i \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}, \\ (n_i) \neq (-1, -1, \dots, -1)}} a_{n_1 \dots n_t} J_{n_1} \cdots J_{n_t} E.$$

Since each term appeared in the right hand side involves J_{n_i} for some nonnegative integer n_i , by using Lemma 3.2, its length is strictly less than t . Thus by induction

hypothesis, the image of right hand side in $A(V_L^+)$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$. So we can assume that $v = J * \cdots * J * E$. Note that

$$J * E = \sum_{j=0}^4 \binom{4}{j} J_{j-1} E$$

and $\text{wt}(J_{j-1} E) = 4 + k - j \leq 4 + k$ if $j \geq 0$. Then from the decomposition of $V_L^+(1)$ (see equation (3.2)) we see that $J * E$ is a vector in the irreducible module for the Virasoro algebra generated by the highest weight E . The proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that $[J * E]$ is a linear combination of elements of $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$. Then using the fact that ω is a central element proves that v is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$.

Now consider a general vector

$$v = L(-m_1)L(-m_2) \cdots L(-m_s)J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E.$$

Suppose $m_1 > 2$. Then by using Proposition 2.2 (3) we have

$$v \sim (-1)^{m_1} \{(m_1 - 1)(L(-2) + L(-1)) + L(0)\} L(-m_2) \cdots L(-m_s)J_{-n_1} \cdots J_{-n_t} E$$

which is a sum of three homogeneous vectors of weight strictly less than $\text{wt}(v)$. Then by induction hypothesis, $[v]$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$. Thus we can assume that $m_1 \leq 2$. We can further assume by using the relation $L(-1) \sim -L(0)$ that $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_s = 2$. Namely,

$$v = \overbrace{L(-2) \cdots L(-2)}^s J_{-n_1} J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} E.$$

Then

$$v = \omega^{*s} * (J_{-n_1} \mathbf{1}) * (J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} \mathbf{1}) * E + u$$

where the weights of homogeneous components of u are less than $\text{wt}(v)$ and the length of each homogeneous component of u with respect to J is less than or equal to t . Then again by using induction hypothesis $[u]$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega, E}]$. It reduces to the case that

$$v = \omega^{*s} * (J_{-n_1} \mathbf{1}) * (J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} \mathbf{1}) * E.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} v &\equiv (J_{-n_1} \mathbf{1}) * \omega^{*s} * (J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} \mathbf{1}) * E \\ &= (J_{-n_1} \mathbf{1}) * \omega^{*s} * (J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} E) + w \end{aligned}$$

where w is a vector spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega,E}]$. By induction hypothesis of the length with respect to J , we see that $J_{-n_2} \cdots J_{-n_t} E$ is spanned by $\mathcal{S}_{\omega,E}$ modulo $O(V_L^+)$. So we can assume that

$$v = \omega^{*p} * (J_{-n_1} \mathbf{1}) * E$$

for some $p \geq 0$. Again by induction hypothesis on the length of v with respect to J we conclude that such $[v]$ is spanned by $[\mathcal{S}_{\omega,E}]$. This establishes the lemma. $\square$

Let us summarize the main results in this section.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that $k \neq 1$. Then the Zhu's algebra $A(V_L^+)$ is spanned by*

$$\{[\omega^{*s} * J^{*t}], [\omega^{*s} * E] | s, t \geq 0\}$$

5 The structure of $A(V_L^+)$

It is proved in Section 4 that the algebra $A(V_L^+)$ is generated by $[\omega]$, $[J]$ and $[E]$ if $k \neq 1$. In this section we determine the algebra structure of $A(V_L^+)$ which is a commutative semisimple algebra of dimension $k + 7$ if $k \neq 1$. This is achieved by studying the relations among $[\omega]$, $[J]$ and $[E]$. We have already known two relations between $[\omega]$ and $[J]$ from [DN]. Using the known irreducible modules of $A(V_L^+)$, we obtain more relations. The classification of irreducible modules for V_L^+ follows immediately from the dimension of $A(V_L^+)$ as $A(V_L^+)$ has $k + 7$ known irreducible modules.

In Subsection 5.1 we list all known irreducible modules of V_L^+ and give the scalars of ω, J, E on the top levels of these modules. In Subsection 5.2 we find two relations among $[\omega]$, $[J]$ and $[E]$. Subsection 5.3 is the core of this paper where we determine a basis of $A(V_L^+)$. Subsection 5.4 is easy but important. In this subsection we classify the irreducible modules for V_L^+ .

We assume that $k \neq 1$ in the first three subsections.

5.1 List of known irreducible modules

Here we give the list of known irreducible V_L^+ -modules and the action of ω, E and J on the top levels of them. As we mentioned before, we have the following irreducible V_L^+ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} V_L^+, \quad V_L^-, \quad V_{L+\frac{r}{2k}\alpha} (r = 1, 2, \dots, k-1), \\ V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^+, \quad V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^-, \quad V_L^{T_1,+}, \quad V_L^{T_1,-}, \quad V_L^{T_2,+}, \quad V_L^{T_2,-}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the top level of these modules are 1-dimensional and ω , J and E act as scalars. The following table gives the scalars which follows from the construction of these modules (cf. [DN]).

	V_L^+	V_L^-	$V_{L+\frac{r}{2k}\alpha} (1 \leq r \leq k-1)$	$V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^+$	$V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^-$
ω	0	1	$r^2/4k$	$k/4$	$k/4$
E	0	0	0	1	-1
J	0	-6	$c^4 - c^2/2, c^2 = r^2/2k$	$k^4/4 - k/4$	$k^4/4 - k/4$

	$V_L^{T_1,+}$	$V_L^{T_1,-}$	$V_L^{T_2,+}$	$V_L^{T_2,-}$
ω	1/16	9/16	1/16	9/16
E	2^{-2k+1}	$-2^{-2k+1}(4k-1)$	-2^{-2k+1}	$2^{-2k+1}(4k-1)$
J	3/128	-45/128	3/128	-45/128

5.2 The relations among ω , E and J

In this subsection we first prove the relation

$$([\omega] - k/4) * ([\omega] - 1/16) * ([\omega] - 9/16) * [E] = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that $V_L^+(1) = \oplus_{n \geq k} V_L^+(1, n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded where $V_L^+(1, n)$ is the weight n subspace of $V_L^+(1)$. Then

$$(\omega - k/4) * (\omega - 1/16) * (\omega - 9/16) * E \in \oplus_{0 \leq n \leq k+6} V_L^+(1, n).$$

It is easy to see that $V_L^+(1, k+6)$ has the following basis:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \alpha(-6)F, & g_2 &= \alpha(-5)\alpha(-1)E \\ g_3 &= \alpha(-4)\alpha(-2)E, & g_4 &= \alpha(-4)\alpha(-1)^2F, \\ g_5 &= \alpha(-3)^2E, & g_6 &= \alpha(-3)\alpha(-2)\alpha(-1)F, \\ g_7 &= \alpha(-3)\alpha(-1)^3E, & g_8 &= \alpha(-2)^3F, \\ g_9 &= \alpha(-2)^2\alpha(-1)^2E, & g_{10} &= \alpha(-2)\alpha(-1)^4F, \\ g_{11} &= \alpha(-1)^6E. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim V_L^+(k, 6) = 11$. Similarly $V_L^+(1, k+5)$ has the following basis

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \alpha(-5)F, & f_2 &= \alpha(-4)\alpha(-1)E, \\ f_3 &= \alpha(-3)\alpha(-2)E, & f_4 &= \alpha(-3)\alpha(-1)^2F, \\ f_5 &= \alpha(-2)^2\alpha(-1)F, & f_6 &= \alpha(-2)\alpha(-1)^3E, \\ f_7 &= \alpha(-1)^5F \end{aligned}$$

and dimension 7. We also need the following basis of $V_L^+(1, k+3)$:

$$h_1 = \alpha(-3)F, \quad h_2 = \alpha(-2)\alpha(-1)E, \quad h_3 = \alpha(-1)^3F.$$

Clearly, $\dim V_L^+(k, 3) = 3$.

Set $v = \alpha(-1)_{-3}^4 E$ where $\alpha(-1)_{-3}^4$ is the component operator of $Y(\alpha(-1)^4, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(-1)_n^4 z^{-n-1}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The vectors*

$$L(-1)(f_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, 7), \quad L(-3)(h_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \quad v$$

form a basis of $V_L^+(1, k+6)$.

Proof. The main idea of the proof is to show that these vectors are linearly independent. This is done in the following table by giving explicit expressions of these vectors in terms of g_i for $i = 1, \dots, 11$. In fact if we denote the matrix below by A then $\det A = 6144(1-k)k^2$. Thus A is non-singular if $k \neq 1$. $\square$

	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5	g_6	g_7	g_8	g_9	g_{10}	g_{11}
$L(-1)f_1$	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$L(-1)f_2$	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$L(-1)f_3$	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
$L(-1)f_4$	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
$L(-1)f_5$	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	0
$L(-1)f_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	0
$L(-1)f_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
$2kL(-3)h_1$	$6k$	0	0	0	$2k$	1	0	0	0	0	0
$2kL(-3)h_2$	0	$4k$	$2k$	0	0	$2k$	0	0	1	0	0
$2kL(-3)h_3$	0	0	0	$6k$	0	0	$2k$	0	0	1	0
v	$32k^3$	$48k^2$	$48k^2$	$24k$	$24k^2$	$48k$	4	$8k$	6	0	0

Lemma 5.2. *We have the relation*

$$(\omega - k/4)(\omega - 1/16)(\omega - 9/16)E = 0$$

in $A(V_L^+)$ where we also use v to denote its image in $A(V_L^+)$ for any $v \in V_L^+$ and the product is the $$ operation.*

Proof. We first note that all vectors of a basis in Lemma 5.1 are congruent to the vectors of $V_L^+(1)$ of weight less than or equal to $k + 5$. By Lemma 4.4 there exists a monic polynomial of degree 3 such that

$$f(\omega)E = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Let us apply both sides of (5.3) to the top levels of $V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^+$, $V_L^{T_1,+}$, $V_L^{T_2,+}$ and note that E is nonzero on these top levels. We immediately have

$$f(k/4) = f(1/16) = f(9/16) = 0.$$

Since f has degree 3 we get

$$f(x) = (x - k/4)(x - 1/16)(x - 9/16).$$

□

Next we study relations between J and E . From Lemma 4.4, it is clear that there exists a polynomial $r(x)$ of degree 2 such that $J * E = r(\omega)E$. We give the explicit expression of the $r(x)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$J * E = E * J = r(w)E \quad (5.4)$$

in $A(V_L^+)$ where

$$r(x) = \frac{2(32k^2 - 8k - 9)}{(4k - 9)(4k - 1)}x^2 + \frac{9 + 80k - 104k^2}{2(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}x + \frac{27k(k - 1)}{8(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Set $r(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$. We will evaluate $J * E = r(\omega)E$ on the top levels of modules listed in Subsection 5.1 on which $E \neq 0$. Namely, we calculate the values of ω and J on the top levels of modules $V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^+$, $V_L^{T_1,+}$ and $V_L^{T_1,-}$. Then we have

$$k^2a + 4kb + 16c = 4k^2 - 4k,$$

$$a + 16b + 256c = 6,$$

$$81a + 144b + 256c = -90.$$

By solving this linear system, we have the desired result. The same argument also shows that $E * J = r(w)E$. In particular, J and E are commutative. □

5.3 A basis for $A(V_L^+)$

So far, we have established the following relations;

$$J^2 = p(\omega) + q(\omega)J, \quad (B_1)$$

$$(\omega - 1)(\omega - 1/16)(\omega - 9/16)(J + \omega - 4\omega^2) = 0, \quad (B_2)$$

$$JE = r(\omega)E, \quad (L_1)$$

$$t(\omega)E = 0 \quad (L_2)$$

where

$$p(x) = \frac{1816}{35}x^4 - \frac{212}{5}x^3 + \frac{89}{10}x^2 - \frac{27}{70}x, \quad (5.6)$$

$$q(x) = -\frac{314}{35}x^2 + \frac{89}{14}x - \frac{27}{70}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$r(x) = \frac{2(32k^2 - 8k - 9)}{(4k - 9)(4k - 1)}x^2 + \frac{9 + 80k - 104k^2}{2(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}x + \frac{27k(k - 1)}{8(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$t(x) = (x - k/4)(x - 1/16)(x - 9/16). \quad (5.9)$$

We remark that the relation (B_1) and (B_2) were found in [DN] in the algebra $A(M(1)^+)$. Since $O(M(1)^+) \subset O(V_L^+)$ these two relations are also true in $A(V_L^+)$.

Lemma 5.4.

$$E * E = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} q_{2k-j}(\alpha) \mathbf{1}.$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 3.7, we have

$$Y(E, z)E = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ p_j(\alpha) \otimes e^{2\alpha} + p_j(-\alpha) \otimes e^{-2\alpha} \right\} z^{2k+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j(\alpha) z^{-2k+j}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$E * E = \text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^k}{z} Y(E, z)E \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} E_{j-1}E = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} q_{2k-j}(\alpha) \mathbf{1}.$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *There exist polynomials $a(x)$, $\deg a = k$ and $s(x)$, $\deg s \leq 2$ such that*

$$E^2 = a(\omega) + s(\omega)(J + \omega - 4\omega^2). \quad (5.10)$$

Further

$$a(x) = a_0 x \left(x - \frac{1}{4k}\right) \left(x - \frac{4}{4k}\right) \cdots \left(x - \frac{(k-1)^2}{4k}\right)$$

where $a_0 = 2(4k)^k / (2k)!$.

Proof. Since $E * E \in M(1)^+$ and the highest weight of homogeneous component is $2k$ we can write E^2 as a linear combination of $\omega^{*s} * J^t$ for $s, t \geq 0$ such that $2s + 4t \leq 2k$ (see [DN]). The existence of $a(x)$ and $s(x)$ follow from the relation $(B_1)-(B_2)$. Clearly, the degrees of $a(x)$ and $s(x)$ are less than or equal to k and $k-2$, respectively. Using (B_2) we can assume that the degree of $s(x)$ is less than or equal to 2.

Let us apply both hand sides of (5.10) to the top level of modules

$$V_L^+, V_{L+\frac{1}{2k}\alpha}, \dots, V_{L+\frac{k-1}{2k}\alpha}.$$

Since both E and $J + \omega - 4\omega^2$ act trivially on these top levels, we see that $a(\omega)$ also acts trivially on top levels. This implies

$$a(0) = a\left(\frac{1}{4k}\right) = \cdots = a\left(\frac{(k-1)^2}{4k}\right) = 0.$$

Since $\deg a \leq k$, we find

$$a(x) = a_0 x \left(x - \frac{1}{4k}\right) \left(x - \frac{4}{4k}\right) \cdots \left(x - \frac{(k-1)^2}{4k}\right)$$

for some $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $J + \omega - 4\omega^2$ acts trivially and $E = 1$ on the top level of $V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^+$, we have $a(k/4) = 1$, which implies $a_0 = 2(4k)^k / (2k)!$. $\square$

Set

$$\varphi(x) = (x-1)\left(x - \frac{1}{16}\right)\left(x - \frac{9}{16}\right)\left(x - \frac{k}{4}\right)a(x). \quad (5.11)$$

Lemma 5.6. *We have*

$$\varphi(\omega) = 0.$$

Proof. From (B_2)

$$(\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(J + \omega - 4\omega^2) = 0$$

we see from Lemma 5.5 that

$$(\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})E^2 = (\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})a(\omega).$$

On the other hand, (L_2) tells us

$$(\omega - \frac{k}{4})(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})E^2 = 0$$

and therefore $\varphi(\omega) = 0$. $\square$

Since $JE = r(\omega)E$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (J - r(\omega))E^2 \\ &= (J - r(\omega))a(\omega) + (J - r(\omega))(J + \omega - 4\omega^2)s(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J^2s(\omega) + \{a(\omega) + (\omega - 4\omega^2 - r(\omega))s(\omega)\}J \\ - r(\omega)a(\omega) - r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2)s(\omega) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the relation $J^2 = p(\omega) + q(\omega)J$, this is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \{a(\omega) + (q(\omega) - r(\omega) + \omega - 4\omega^2)s(\omega)\}J - r(\omega)a(\omega) \\ + \{p(\omega) - r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2)\}s(\omega) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

For convenience we introduce

$$b(x) = a(x) + (q(x) - r(x) + x - 4x^2)s(x). \tag{5.13}$$

Lemma 5.7.

$$b(1) = a(1) + \frac{27(-12 + 65k - 33k^2)}{8(9 - 40k + 16k^2)}s(1), \quad b(\frac{1}{16}) = a(\frac{1}{16}), \quad b(\frac{9}{16}) = a(\frac{9}{16}).$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$q(x) - r(x) + x - 4x^2 = \frac{9(-12 + 65k - 33k^2)(16x - 1)(16x - 9)}{280(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}.$$

The lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.8. (1) If k is not a perfect square, then

$$b(1) \neq 0, b\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \neq 0, b\left(\frac{9}{16}\right) \neq 0.$$

(2) If $k = 4m^2$ for some positive integer m then

$$b(1) = b\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) = b\left(\frac{9}{16}\right) = 0.$$

(3) If $k = (2m + 1)^2$ for some positive integer m then

$$b(1) = 0, b\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \neq 0, b\left(\frac{9}{16}\right) \neq 0.$$

Proof. (1) Recall that $a(x) = a_0 \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (x - \frac{r^2}{4k})$. If $b(1/16) = a(1/16) = 0$, then there exists i ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$) such that $\frac{1}{16} = \frac{i^2}{4k}$, namely, $k = 4i^2$. This is a contradiction. By the exactly same reason, we know $b(9/16) = a(9/16) \neq 0$. It remains to show $b(1) \neq 0$. Let us evaluate the relation

$$E^2 = a(\omega) + s(\omega)(J + \omega - 4\omega^2)$$

on the top level of the module V_L^- . Then we have $a(1) = 9s(1)$. Using Lemma 5.7 gives

$$b(1) = \frac{(k-4)(29k-9)}{8(4k-1)(4k-9)}a(1). \quad (5.14)$$

It is immediate that $b(1) \neq 0$ as k is not a perfect square.

(2) Since $\frac{m^2}{4k} = \frac{1}{16}$ and $\frac{9m^2}{4k} = \frac{9}{16}$ we have $a(\frac{1}{16}) = a(\frac{9}{16}) = 0$. By Lemma 5.7,

$$b\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) = b\left(\frac{9}{16}\right) = 0.$$

Next we assert that $b(1) = 0$. If $k = 4$ this is immediate from (5.14). If $k > 4$ then $m > 1$. So $4m \leq k-1$. Since $\frac{16m^2}{4k} = 1$ we again have $a(1) = 0$ and $b(1) = 0$ by using (5.14).

The proof of (3) is similar to that of (2). $\square$

Proposition 5.9. If k is not a perfect square then

$$\{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{k+3}, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}$$

is a basis of $A(V_L^+)$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} A(V_L^+) = k + 7$.

Proof. Note that (B_2) can be written as

$$(\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})J = (\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(4\omega^2 - \omega).$$

From (5.12)-(5.13) we see that

$$b(\omega)J = r(\omega)a(\omega) + \left\{ r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega) \right\} s(\omega).$$

Since k is not a perfect square it follows from Lemma 5.8 (1) that $(x - 1)(x - 1/16)(x - 9/16)$ and $b(x)$ are coprime. Then there exist polynomials $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ such that

$$\alpha(x)(x - 1)(x - \frac{1}{16})(x - \frac{9}{16}) + \beta(x)b(x) = 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \alpha(\omega)(\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(4\omega^2 - \omega) \\ &\quad + \beta(\omega) \left\{ r(\omega)a(\omega) + (r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega))s(\omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A(V_L^+)$ is spanned by $\{\omega^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}$. Lemma 5.6 then implies that $A(V_L^+)$ is spanned by $\{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{k+3}, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}$ since $\deg \varphi = k + 4$. Finally linear independence of these vectors is clear because $A(V_L^+)$ has $k + 7$ inequivalent irreducible modules which are the top levels of the known irreducible modules for V_L^+ . $\square$

Proposition 5.10. *If $k = 4m^2$ for positive integer m , then the following set is a basis of $A(V_L^+)$;*

$$\left\{ 1, \omega, \dots, \omega^k, J, \omega J, \omega^2 J, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E \right\}.$$

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} A(V_L^+) = k + 7$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.8 (2), $b(x)$ has a factor $(x - 1)(x - 1/16)(x - 9/16)$ and we can write

$$b(x) = (x - 1)(x - \frac{1}{16})(x - \frac{9}{16})c(x)$$

where $c(x)$ is some polynomial. Even in this case, we still have two relations;

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})J &= (\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(4\omega^2 - \omega), \\ (\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})c(\omega)J &= r(\omega)a(\omega) + \left\{ r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega) \right\} s(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

(see (B_2) and (5.12)-(5.13)). By eliminating J we obtain

$$b(\omega)(4\omega^2 - \omega) = r(\omega)a(\omega) + \{r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega)\}s(\omega).$$

Using the definition of $b(x)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{r(\omega) - 4\omega^4 + \omega\}a(\omega) \\ & + \{r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega) - (q(\omega) - r(\omega) + \omega - 4\omega^2)(4\omega^2 - \omega)\}s(\omega) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or that

$$(r(\omega) - 4\omega^2 + \omega)a(\omega) + \{-p(\omega) - q(\omega)(4\omega^2 - \omega) + (4\omega^2 - \omega)^2\}s(\omega) = 0.$$

A direct calculation gives

$$-p(x) - q(x)(4x^2 - x) + (4x^2 - x)^2 = 0$$

and

$$r(x) - 4x^2 + x = \frac{9(4x - k)((32k - 12)x - 3k + 3)}{8(4k - 1)(4k - 9)}. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus

$$(\omega - \frac{k}{4})(\omega - \frac{3k - 3}{32k - 12})a(\omega) = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Recall the definition of $\varphi(x)$ from (5.11). Suppose that $\frac{3k-3}{32k-12}$ is not a root of $\varphi(x)$. Then $x - \frac{3k-3}{32k-12}$ and $\varphi(x)$ are relatively prime and there exist polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ such that $(x - \frac{3k-3}{32k-12})f(x) + \varphi(x)g(x) = 1$. So

$$(x - \frac{k}{4})a(x) = (x - \frac{k}{4})(x - \frac{3k - 3}{32k - 12})a(x)f(x) + (x - \frac{k}{4})a(x)\varphi(x)g(x).$$

By Lemma 5.6

$$(\omega - \frac{k}{4})a(\omega) = 0.$$

So ω^{k+1} is a linear combinations of ω^i for $i \leq k$. We then use the relations (B_1) , (B_2) , (L_1) and (L_2) to conclude that

$$\{1, \omega, \dots, \omega^k, J, \omega J, \omega^2 J, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}$$

is a spanning set of $A(V_L^+)$. Since $A(V_L^+)$ has $k + 7$ known inequivalent irreducible modules already we see immediately that this spanning set is a basis.

It remains to prove that $\frac{3k-3}{32k-12}$ is not a root of $\varphi(x)$. Note that the roots of $\varphi(x)$ are

$$1, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, \frac{k}{4}, \frac{i^2}{4k}, i = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{3k-3}{32k-12} \neq 0, 1, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, \frac{k}{4}$. Suppose that

$$\frac{3k-3}{32k-12} = \frac{i^2}{4k}$$

or

$$\frac{12m^2-3}{128m^2-12} = \frac{i^2}{16m^2}$$

for some $\geq 1 \leq k-1$. Then

$$i^2 = \frac{12m^2(4m^2-1)}{32m^2-3}.$$

Let d be the greatest common divisor of $12m^2(4m^2-1)$ and $32m^2-3$, then d divides $-24m^2(4m^2-1) + 3m^2(32m^2-3)$ or $15m^2$. Since $\frac{12m^2(4m^2-1)}{32m^2-3}$ is an integer we see that $d = 32m^2-3$ and $32m^2-3$ divides $15m^2$. This is impossible for any positive integer m . So we have a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 5.11. *If $k = (2m+1)^2$ for positive integer m , then the following set is a basis of $A(V_L^+)$;*

$$\{1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{k+2}, J, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}.$$

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} A(V_L^+) = k + 7$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7 (3) we can write $b(x) = (x-1)c(x)$ such that the polynomials $c(x)$ and $(x-1/16)(x-9/16)$ are coprime. Use the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega-1)(\omega-\frac{1}{16})(\omega-\frac{9}{16})J &= (\omega-1)(\omega-\frac{1}{16})(\omega-\frac{9}{16})(4\omega^2-\omega), \\ (\omega-1)c(\omega)J &= r(\omega)a(\omega) + \{r(\omega)(\omega-4\omega^2) - p(\omega)\}s(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

(see (B_2) and (5.12)-(5.13)) to eliminate J and to obtain

$$(\omega-\frac{1}{16})(\omega-\frac{9}{16})(\omega-\frac{k}{4})(\omega-\frac{3k-3}{32k-12})a(\omega) = 0.$$

Again one can show that $\frac{3k-3}{32k-12}$ is not a root of $\varphi(x)$ as in the proof of Proposition 5.10. Thus we have

$$(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(\omega - \frac{k}{4})a(\omega) = 0.$$

and ω^{k+3} is a linear combination of ω^i 's for $i = 0, \dots, k+2$.

Let the polynomials $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ satisfy

$$\alpha(x)c(x) + \beta(x)(x - 1/16)(x - 9/16) = 1.$$

Combining this with relations (5.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - 1)J &= \beta(\omega)(\omega - 1)(\omega - \frac{1}{16})(\omega - \frac{9}{16})(4\omega^2 - \omega) \\ &\quad + \alpha(\omega) \left\{ r(\omega)a(\omega) + (r(\omega)(\omega - 4\omega^2) - p(\omega))s(\omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A(V_L^+)$ is spanned by $\{\omega^i, i = 0, \dots, k+2, J, E, \omega E, \omega^2 E\}$. Again the known simple modules for $A(V_L^+)$ implies that this in fact is a basis of $A(V_L^+)$. $\square$

Remark 5.12. *We can determine all relations in $A(V_L^+)$. From the proofs of Propositions 5.9-5.11 it is enough to give the exact expression of $s(x)$ in (5.10). Since $s(x)$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to 2 there are at most 3 coefficients to be determined. This can be done by evaluating (5.10) on the top levels of V_L^- , $V_L^{T_1,+}$ and $V_L^{T_1,-}$. We leave the detail to the reader.*

5.4 Classification of irreducible modules

Recall the known irreducible modules for V_L^+ from Section 2. We finally have the following classification result:

Theorem 5.13. *Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a even positive definite lattice of rank 1 such that α has square length $2k$. Then*

$$\{V_L^\pm, V_{L+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\pm, V_L^{T_i,\pm}, V_{L+\frac{r}{2k}\alpha} | i = 1, 2, r = 1, \dots, k-1\}$$

gives a complete irreducible modules for V_L^+ . Moreover, any admissible irreducible V_L^+ -module is an ordinary module.

Proof. If $k \neq 1$ then $A(V_L^+)$ is a commutative algebra of dimension $k + 7$ (see Section 5). So $A(V_L^+)$ has at most $k + 7$ simple modules. Since $A(V_L^+)$ has $k + 7$ known inequivalent simple modules already we conclude that $A(V_L^+)$ is a semisimple algebra of dimension $k + 7$. Using the one to one correspondence result (see Theorem 2.1) we see that V_L^+ has exactly $k + 7$ inequivalent irreducible admissible modules which are ordinary modules.

If $k = 1$, V_L^+ is isomorphic to the the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{L'}$ where L' is a rank one positive definite lattice spanned by β whose square length is 8 (see [DG]). Then it follows from a result in [D1] that V_L^+ has exactly 8 irreducible modules. Since $V_{L'}$ is rational [DLM1] every irreducible admissible module is an ordinary module. $\square$

Remark 5.14. *If $k = 2$ the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ is isomorphic to $L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0)$ (see Lemma 3.1 of [DGH]) where $L(1/2, h)$ is the irreducible highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra with central charge $1/2$ and highest weight h . So the classification of irreducible modules in this case also follows from the classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $L(1/2, 0)$. One can easily see that all the irreducible modules for $L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0)$ are $L(1/2, h_1) \otimes L(1/2, h_2)$ where $h_i = 0, 1/16, 1/2$. One can find in [DGH] the identification of these modules with the modules for V_L^+ listed in the theorem.*

References

- [BFKNRV] Blumenhagen, R., Lohr, M., Kliem, A., Nahm, W., Recknagel, A. and Varnhagen, R., *W-algebras with two and three generators*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991), 255-289.
- [B] Borcherds, R., *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [DVVV] Dijkgraaf, R., Vafa, C., Verlinde, E. and Verlinde, H., *The operator algebra of orbifold models*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.
- [D1] Dong, C., *Vertex algebras associated with even lattices*, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 245-265.

- [D2] Dong, C., Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994), 90-112.
- [D3] Dong, C., Representations of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, *Contemporary Math.* **175** (1994), 27-36.
- [DG] Dong, C. and Griess, R.L., Jr., Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra*, to appear, q-alg/9710017.
- [DGH] Dong, C., Griess, R.L., Jr. and Hoehn, G., Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and the moonshine module, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407-448
- [DL1] Dong, C. and Lepowsky, J., Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, *Progress in Math.* Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston 1993.
- [DL2] Dong, C. and Lepowsky, J., The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **110** (1996), 259-295.
- [DLM1] Dong, C., Li, H. and Mason, G., Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] Dong, C., Li, H. and Mason, G., Twisted representation of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [DM] Dong, C. and Mason, G., On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305-321.
- [DLi] Dong, C. and Lin, Z., Induced modules for vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 157-184.
- [DN] Dong, C., Nagatomo, K. Classification of irreducible modules for vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$, math.QA/9806051.
- [FHL] Frenkel, I.B., Huang, Y. and Lepowsky, J., On axiomatic approach to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. AMS.* **104**, 1993.
- [FLM1] Frenkel, I.B., Lepowsky, J. and Meurman, A., A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256-3260.

- [FLM2] Frenkel, I.B., Lepowsky, J. and Meurman, A., Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, 1988
- [Z] Zhu, Y., Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. AMS* **9** (1996), 237-301.